

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/065,276	276 09/30/2002		James I. Metzger JR.	10872.0312.N	5687	
	26720 7	26720 7590 04/22/2004			EXA	EXAMINER	
	HOWREY SI			VHITE LLP	NGUYEN	, PHONG H	
ATTEN. DOCKETING DEPT. (A) 750 BERING DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
	HOUSTON, TX 77057			3724	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/065,276	METZGER, JAMES I.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Phong H Nguyen	3724						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2002.							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-30 and 34-47</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.	D)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2002</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate atent Application (PTO-152)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office		D . (D . N						

Application/Control Number: 10/065,276

Art Unit: 3724

2.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the fifth washer in claim 30 and the sixth washer in claim 31 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

New formal drawings are required in this application because they help to understand the Specification better. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The formal drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for formal drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. The Specification is objected to under 37 C.F.R 1.71 for not disclosing clearly the role of the pinheads 37 in the embodiment in Fig. 5. Paragraph [0050] on page 12 discloses that the saw blade 27 rests on the two pinheads 37. It is unclear how the saw assembly can function as the saw blade rests on the two pinheads 37. The saw blade

would not be sturdily mounted to the washer 26a and there is not enough retaining force between the washer 26a and the saw blade which makes the saw blade to rotate as the arbor rotates.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The saw assembly could not function properly as the saw blade rests on the pinheads 37.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 31, the second washer is the second blade collar as claimed in claim 27; therefore, it is unclear how the sixth washer could be interposed between the second washer and the second blade collar.

Art Unit: 3724

8. Claims 1-10, 12-30 and 34-47 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Clarke (4,641,562), Held (3,613,472), Van Steenberg et al. (4,120,224), Zuzelo (5,477,845), Krondorfer et al. (6,701,629 B2), Peterson et al. (2,981,300), Sullivan et al. (4,267,759), Robinson (2,854,042), Dezern (4,507,999) and Markley et al. (5,560,348) teach saw blade assemblies of general interest.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4989. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

PN: pw

April 15, 2004

Alian N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700